17th December 1953]

SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :—" கடைநிலே சிப்பந்திகளை அரசாங்கம் கீழ்த்தர சமுதாயம் என்று அழைப்பது சுதந்தரம் பெற்ற பிறகு அரசியல் திட்டத்திற்கும் மற்றவைகளுக்கும் இழுக்கு தரக்கூடியதாக இல்லையா ? "

MR. SPEAKER :—" அதைத்தான் மாற்றிவிட்டதாகச் சொன்னர்களே ? "

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" I tried my best to avoid any implication of social or other inferiority and I tried to find a description which would just describe the facts and I thought, after rejecting many other phrases, that the 'Last Grade Service' was the most appropriate name."

SRI M. PALANIYANDI :—" பெயரைமட்டும் மாற்றுவதுதானு ? அல்லது சம்பள உயர்வு உண்டா ? "

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" Sir, there is no question about that involved here. It is only a name."

SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :—" மத்திய அரசாங்கம் கடைநிலே ஊழியர்கள் என்பதற்கு பதிலாக நாலாவது கிரேட் என்று அழைப்பதுபோல் நம் மாகாணமும் ஏன் அப்படி அழைக்கக்கூடாது ? "

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" I considered that also. But there are administrative difficulties; there are many difficulties which I need not go into. I gave the greatest amount of consideration to the suggestion 'Fourth Grade' being adopted as in Delhi, but it was not suitable for our State."

Prohibition cases in the South Arcot district.

* 71 *Q.*—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Prohibition be pleased to state—

(*a*) the total number of prohibition cases acquitted in the South Arcot district during 1952–53:

(*b*) the total number of prohibition cases filed in the various Sub-Magistrates' Courts, South Arcot district, during 1952–53; and

(*c*) the total amount of fine realized from prohibition cases in the South Arcot district during 1952–53?

THE HON. SRIMATHI JOTHI VENCATACHELLUM :—" (*a*) The total number of prohibition cases that resulted in acquittal in the South Arcot district during 1952–53 was 293.

" (*b*) The total number of Prohibition cases filed, in the various Sub-Magistrates' Courts in the South Arcot district during 1952–53 was 4,698.

" (*c*) The total amount of fine realized from Prohibition cases in the South Arcot district during 1952–53 was Rupees 89,823–12–0."

SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :—" சட்டத்தில் 'liquor' க்கு விதிகளில் விளக்கம் கொடுக்கப்பட்டிருப்பதுபோல் 'arrack 'கும் விதிகளில் விளக்கம் கொடுக்கப்படாமலிருப்பதால் acquit ஆன கேஸ்கள் எவ்வளவு ? "

[17th December 1953

" Sir, in the definition of 'liquor', 'arrack' is not found and because of that so many cases were acquitted. May I know what is the total number of cases acquitted under that? "

THE HON. SRIMATHI JOTHI VENCATACHELLUM :—" A separate question may be put, Sir."

SRI A. APPU :—" May I know, Sir, whether any appeals were filed in the High Court against these acquittals? "

THE HON. SRIMATHI JOTHI VENCATACHELLUM :—" No appeal was filed, Sir."

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" Sir, I may add the question of filing appeals in a matter of Prohibition is not all-important. There are other considerations. Government do not always file appeals even when they are dissatisfied with the judgment."

SRI V. GOPALA GOUNDER :—" It is stated, Sir, that cases ended in acquittal on the ground that the word 'arrack' is not found in the Act. May I know whether Government have received any proposal from the District Prohibition Officer through the Collector of South Arcot district, that the Act be amended suitably to bring all such cases under the scope of the Act? "

THE HON. SRIMATHI JOTHI VENCATACHELLUM :—" Notice, Sir."

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" Mr. Speaker, Sir, the Hon. Minister for Prohibition stated that 4,698 of Prohibition offences were filed in South Arcot district in 1952–53. May I know what is the corresponding figure for the previous year and how does it compare with this year's figure—is there a tendency towards increase or decrease in these offences? "

THE HON. SRIMATHI JOTHI VENCATACHELLUM :—" A separate question may be put, Sir."

Grant to " the Hindusthan Boys' Scouts Association."

* 72 *Q.*—SRI P. RAMAMURTHI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether any grant is made to the Hindusthan Boys' Scouts Association; and if so, how much;

(*b*) if so, whether the Government exercise any check over the expenditure of the Association;

(*c*) if so, the nature of such check;

(*d*) whether they receive the audited statements of annual accounts of the Association; and

(*e*) if so, whether they will place copies thereof on the table of the House?